

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

OCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N
23-01 4112
EXAMINER
JIANG, CHEN WEN
NIT PAPER NUMBER
4
374

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)
		10/750,693 Examiner	MANOLE, DAN M. Art Unit
			•
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Chen-Wen Jiang	ith the correspondence address
Period for			,
WHICH - Extension - after SI - If NO per - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static yereceived by the Office later than three months after the mapatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 <u>October 2005</u> .	
		his action is non-final.	
3)□ S	since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
С	losed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	n of Claims		
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-30</u> is/are pending in t	he application.	
-	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	• •	
5)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>7-9,17-24 and 26-30</u> is/are allowed	d .	
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.		
=	Claim(s) <u>4,5,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application	n Papers		
9)□ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)⊠ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	objected to by the Examiner.
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	•
11)[_] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
,	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	. Certified copies of the priority docume		· ·
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage
* \$2	application from the International Bur e the attached detailed Office action for a	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	received
36	e the attached detailed Office action for a	not of the certified copies flot	, 16061 760 .
Attachment(s	3)		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1,2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635) in view of Vukovic et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,393,853) or Cannell et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,729,383).

In regard to claims 1 and 3, Beebe et al. disclose a compact active vapor compression cycle heat transfer device. Referring to Fig.2, the device comprises a compressor 14, a condenser 10, an expansion device 24 and an evaporator 17. The evaporator 17 and condenser 10 are heat exchangers formed from a plurality of microchannels 52. Heating is also available from the device of the device, since one side of the device will expel heat into an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object while another side of the device will absorb heat from an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object. However, Beebe et al. do not disclose attachment of the module to the body.

Art Unit: 3744

Vukovic et al. and Cannell et al. disclose attachment feature between the module and the body in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of mounting. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks about the prior art of Vukovic et al and Cannell et al. Vukovic et al. disclose the module and cold plate are attached in the operating position as described in col. 2, lines 5-19. Applicant's remark in col.2, lines 19-23 shows the released positions. In the prior art of Cannell et al., the cold plate 59 is attached to module 48 when the whole system is considered. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with attachment feature in view of Vukovic et al. and Cannell et al. so as to mount the module and contact the surfaces.

In regard to claim 2, upon a close review of applicant's specification, it appears that the claimed attachment do not have any criticality and/or lead to any new and unexpected results. Applicant does not specify the deficiencies of other attachments used in the prior art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the claimed attachment feature since these particular attachment that are no better or provided improved performance over that which is commonplace in the prior art

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122).

Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Beebe discloses a flexible planar compressor. However, Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or Cannell et al. do not disclose hermetically sealed compressor. Monfarad discloses a hermetically sealed compressor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of compress

Art Unit: 3744

refrigerant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. and Vukovic et al. or

Cannell et al. with a hermetically sealed compressor in view of Monfarad so as to compress

refrigerant.

4. Claims 10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Beebe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,148,635) in view of Cannell et al. (U.S. Patent Number

6,729,383) and Monfarad (U.S. Patent Number 6,687,122).

In regard to claims 10, Beebe et al. disclose a compact active vapor compression cycle heat transfer device. Referring to Fig.2, the device comprises a compressor 14, a condenser 10, an expansion device 24 and an evaporator 17. The evaporator 17 and condenser 10 are heat exchangers formed from a plurality of microchannels 52. Heating is also available from the device of the device, since one side of the device will expel heat into an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object while another side of the device will absorb heat from an adjacent atmosphere, fluid or object. Monfarad discloses a hermetically sealed compressor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of compress refrigerant. Cannell et al. disclose frame attachment feature between the module and the body in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of mounting. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument about the validity of central located compressor in Monfarad's teaching. Monfarad discloses the size and design of prior art cooling system often required the major components cooling system be centrally located (col.2, lines 19-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Beebe et al. with attachment feature in view of Vukovic et al. and a hermetically sealed compressor in view of Monfarad.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,693 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

In regard to claim 13, Monfarad discloses an optional receiver in the refrigeration system.

In regard to claims 14-16, Examiner takes official notice that the cold plate with fluid inlet and outlet and convective heat transfer surface with fin and matching areas are well known in the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-9,17-23,24 and 26-30 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 4,5,11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,693

Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner